

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/685,423	SUGANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2621

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY (3) James Larsen (Reg. No. 58,565)
 (2) Thai Tran (SPE) (4) _____

Date of interview: 17 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Itoh (US 7016596 B2), Sull et al. (US 20040128317 A1), and Clapper (US 6925602 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agrees to perform an updated search upon receipt of the applicant's response to the final rejection.
 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



THAI TRAN, SPE A.II. 2621.